

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/656,626 09/07/2000		09/07/2000	Steven A. Clark	43420/118	7545	
	26371	7590	07/25/2003				
	FOLEY & I	ARDNI	ER		EXAMI	NER	
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 3800					COMBS, JA	COMBS, JANELL A	
	MILWAUKE	EE, WI 5	33202-5308		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					1742	<i>f</i>	
					DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	i
Advisory Action	09/656,626	CLARK ET AL.	1
•	Examin r	Art Unit	
•	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ replace the application in condition for allo 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	wance because: See Continuation	Sheet.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-30 and 32-66</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		<u> </u>	
5. Patent and Trademark Office	MAPPE		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the instant tensile properties in new claim 66 have not previously been considered and/or "a substantially uniform...grain structure" has not previously been considered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the declaration filed on July 11, 2003 has not been considered because it contains a new interpretation/ definition of "generally round grain structure" which would require further consideration and search. The instant claims remain rejected over the prior art of record for the reasons stated in the Final Rejection.

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Fun